

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,647	BLOOM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yevgeny Valenrod	1621	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Yevgeny Valenrod.

(3) _____.

(2) Raymond Mandra.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2007

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: interview was conducted to indicate allowable subject matter. Applicant has agreed to submit a 132 declaration as evidence for showing of unexpected results. However, upon further review and conference with Senior examiners it has been decided that the arguments presented by the applicant are insufficient to overcome the rejections of record..